

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Onited Staffs Patent and Trademark Office OMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Box 1450
P. Box 1450
Alchadria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 4998 09/986,241 10/22/2001 Kenneth A. Stewart CS11493 20280 7590 08/23/2006 EXAMINER **MOTOROLA INC** CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M 600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **ROOM AS437** LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343 2133

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/986,241	STEWART ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mujtaba K. Chaudry	2133	
D:	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period fo	• •			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-7,11-13 and 18-26 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-7,11-13 and 18-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.			
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/986,241

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response was received July 3, 2006.

- Claims 2-7, 11-13 and 18-26 are rejected.
- Claims 5-7 are objected to.

Application pending.

Response to Amendment

Applicants' arguments/amendments with respect to claims 2-7, 11-13 and 18-26 filed July 3, 2006 have been received. All arguments have been fully considered. The Examiner would like to point out that this action is made final (See MPEP 706.07a).

Applicants have not addressed the rejection under 35 USC 112 made in previous office action. Therefore that rejection stands and all pending independent claims have the questionable limitation that is explained in 35 USC 112 rejection. Furthermore, there is no outstanding art rejection on the remaining claims. In the process of amending the claims, Applicants have introduced minor informalities in claims 5-7 which are pointed out herein below as well.

Art Unit: 2133

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

There is an extra period in the claim after the limitation, "...with the selected predefined redundancy..." which should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities:

- There is an extra period in the claim after the limitation, "...with the selected predefined redundancy..." which should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities:

There is an extra period in the claim after the limitation, "...with the selected predefined redundancy..." which should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-7, 11-13 and 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,241

Art Unit: 2133

In all of the independent claims, the term "predefined redundancy" is indefinite because the adjective, "predefined" describing the noun, "redundancy" which is a relative term. Meaning, the way that this term is used is in time order. In other words, the Examiner is not sure with respect to what is it predefined. Is it defined prior to transmission? Prior to encoding? What is the predefined redundancy, quantitively, or from what is it being selected? Applicants are invited to clearly word claim language.

Page 4

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to the examiner,

Mujtaba Chaudry who may be reached at 571-272-3817. The examiner may normally be reached

Mon – Thur 6:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady at 571-272-3819.

Mujtaba Chaudry Art Unit 2133

August 17, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER